IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3311 OF 2007
AND
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3312 OF 2007

Shri Tukaram Jagannath Kamble ..Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

Mr.A.P.Mundargi i/by Ganesh Gole for the applicant.

Mr.S.S.Pednekar APP for the State.

CORAM : V.C.DAGA,J

Date : 22.10.2007.

PC

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the State.

2. The applicant is seeking bail in 2 C.R's i.e. C.R.No.I-98/2007 and I-99/2007 registered with Ulhasnagar Police Station for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 406, 465, 471, 201 read with Section 34 of IPC.

3. The learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is in custody since 30.4.2007. The other director who was holding 100 shares has been released on bail. The present applicant is also one of the director. The present applicant is also holding 100 shares. He thus claims to be released on bail.

4. He submits that the Chairman of the company has not been granted bail. However, as per his submission, the case of the present applicant cannot be equated with that of the Chairman of the company.

5. Since the other directors are already released on bail, the applicant, in my view, would be entitled to be enlarged on bail on furnishing P.R.bond of Rs.50,000/- in each C.R.’s with one or two local solvent sureties to make up the bail amount subject to the condition that he shall not stay in Ulhasnagar until trial is over.

6. The applicant before his release on bail shall furnish proof of his residential address and shall not change the residence without prior intimation to the I.O.

7. The applicant shall report to the concerned police station twice in a week in between 5.00 p.m. to 7.00 p.m. till conclusion of the trial.

8. The applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement or threat to any prosecution witnesses and shall not in any manner tamper with the prosecution evidence.

9. The applicant shall co-operate with the learned

Trial Judge for expeditious disposal of the case.

10. Any attempt by the applicant to delay the trial may be a ground for cancellation of bail.

11. Any observation made in this order shall not be construed as any finding or any expression of opinion on the merits of the case at the time of trial.

. Applications are disposed of in the above terms.

(V.C.DAGA,J)